Leg 414-12 (1-2)
414-13-1
202-10 (1-4)

1917

App. F. IX-4

10 Yoo

File No. 5-1-17

Previous References
Legis 25-15 (1-4)

(Legis)

Serial Nos. 16-5

Later References

Leg 1857

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. Nine

A Collection

Manuscript Part B for February 1919.

SUBJECT

Amendment of section 120 of the Mysore Land Revenue Code, 1888.

Manasamangy Iyengar
28-9-17

Previous References

LR 421-12(1-2)
" 414-13-1
" 202-10(1-4)
Legis 25-15(1-4)

File No 51-1917.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

For February 1919.

Judicial and Legislative (Legislation).

"A" Collection. Serial No 3-5.

Amendment of Section 120 of the Mysore Land Revenue Code, 1888.

Table of contents.

Serial No	Number and date of letter and contents	Page in A Proceedings
3.	To the First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore. No Pol 392 dated 24-10-18. Forwards two copies of a Bill further to amend the Mysore Land Revenue Code and requests the sanction of the Govt. of India for passing the Bill into law.	
4.	From the First Assistant to the Resident. No 7886 dated a8-11-18. States that the Governor General in Council has been pleased to accord his consent to the Bill.	
5.	To the Compiler, Mysore Gazette. No G 18324 dated 22-2-19. Orders the publication of the Regulation further to amend the Mysore Land Revenue Code as Regulation No I of 1919.	

T V R.

G. R. S. III—11 1927

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Legis.

NOTES.

File No. S-1-17

FILE HEADING.

Amendment of section 120 of the Mysore Land Revenue Code, 1888.

From

No.	Dated	Received

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Please place this in proper file.

NOTES—First Sheet.

D 1881—GPB—30,000—1-S-21

G. R. S. 11] 1915-16

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(dR)

NOTES.

dgis 51-17

~~LR253-15~~
File No.

FILE HEADING.

Cancellation of the Inam Lemme of the Inam properties belonging to the late Bhumannamni Avam
Amendment of section 120 of the Mysore Land Revenue Code, 1888.

From

The Supdt of Inam Settlements

No. 52 Dated 5-1-16 Received 6-1-16

Diary No. 212 Enclos. Spare Copies. Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

With reference to G.P. no R 5992-7/dR 414-15-1 of 5/2/14 ordering that the Inam properties belonging to the late Bhumannamni Avam be treated as Palace properties their Inam Lemme being cancelled states that in Santemogenahalli there is no Inam belonging to the lady referred to there are Inams belonging to Venkatalakshmannamni in the said Hamlet and that there is no Inam record bearing

NOTES AND ORDERS.

on certain of the properties covered by the G.P. as per Inam Salvarpet garden etc of Solicits orders.

1, Draft forwarding a Copy of this letter to the Palace Controller for remarks is Subd; previous Correspondence also Subd.

K.Y.K. 58

NOTES—First Sheet. 12/1/15

GPB—20,000—8-8-14

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Legs A.R. File No. 25-5 | of 1917

Serial No.

+ E

+ G

2 The properties referred to in the Govt order of 5th Feb: 16 are those mentioned in the Palace Controller's letter No. 9 of 25th July 1895. It is not stated in the order that all of them are drawn. There is no information here as to whether the Sandemogena. Mallikarjuna garden in the Chennapatna Taluk belonged to the Venkatasubbammanni

3 The required information may be called for from the Palace Controller

IV-42

4 A draft is submitted for approval

A. V. Ramanathan

25/11/15

27/11

5 The papers may be sent

6 I had sent a routine note about certain cases referred to by the Palace Controller is pending disposal here. What became of that note

V. Thyagaraja Iyer

29/11/15

NOTE--Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15

1. Agree

2. I do not remember having seen the routine note. Please submit note

A. V. Ramanathan

Please submit file copy

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Legs 57-17 — File No. ~~2665~~ of 1917

Serial No.	
2	To the Palace comptroller no R 6014 dt 20/12/15 forwards a copy of the above letter for his remarks.
2890	Do. letter dt 11/11/16 from M. B. Ramakrishna Rao & States that action under G.O. dt 5/2/14 may be deffered till he again writes as it is under contemplation to have the said propaganda G.O. in question States that the Sarvamanya villages of Sargalur, Gattahalli and Honnamachanahalli belonging to the late Chandamma & subsequent to her death in the possession of the Palace be treated as Palace properties under Govt management instead of as Inam ones. Except as regards cancellation of the Inam tenure in the books of the Inam Supdt's office the G.O. seems to have been practically acted upon since Feb 1914 when the G.O. was passed. It does not seem impracticable

NOTE---Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15

25 20

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Legs 51-17

LR ~~File No. 253 of 191 S. 6~~

Serial No.

to give retrospective effect to any modification which may be effected in the Govt in question on learning the lines of modification definitely from the Controller as such no immediate action seems called for

11 Subd for orders.—

Kyr K.G.K. A reply is due from the 17/11/16 12 Palace Controller & Sl. 2 on reference from the Supt of Inam Settlement — It may be awaited. M. S. Ramachandra Rao

~~Rev Secy~~ Asst 18/11/16 18/11

13 Submitted for inspection.

157 20-11-16. 2921 21.11.16

2nd Asst Dharwar

14 Seen AR Banerji 21.11 Asst 18/11

Lr. Krishna Rao LK 18/11/16

LK 21/11/1916 Asst 21.11

15 Seen. The matter may be submitted after an interval — M. Vigneswaraya 22.11.16

16 This may be brought up before me on the 5th Dec/16 — for a reminder being sent. V. Krishna Rao 23/11/16

Asst 23/11

Sus B

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPS—40,000—17-12-15

W. D. 2725—GPB—2,000—5-5-15

REPLY.

(To be written on this margin.)

Office of the Dewan of Mysore.

NOTE.

Dated *December 1916* 191

D.O. letter No.149 dated 3rd December 1916, from the Huzur Secretary to His Highness, calling for definite proposals in consultation with the Revenue Commissioner in the matter of removing certain disabilities as regards the revenue concerns of Palace villages under the management of Government.

Forwarded, with compliments, to the Second Member of Council.

I shall be obliged if the Second Member will kindly work up the case in consultation with the Revenue Commissioner and favour me with definite recommendations in the matter.

9.12.16 M Visvesvaraya

The Second Member of Council.

Rev. Secy

Please submit file connected with the appeals and the pending matters relating to the Co. quoted by Mr. Ivan Lewis & the relevant records also.

In the meantime confidentially ask the Rev Com to be present at the discussion of the Palace matters

A R Banerji 10/12

Asst Secy Reference with a Draft D.O. to Rev Comr

H Keppetiyana Rao 11/12/16

Hon 11.12

Submitted with draft D.O. M S Ramaiya Asst 11.12

Approved & re-submit with all the connected files.

H Keppetiyana 11/12/16

SS. Rev Secy

Hon 12.12 12/12/16

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Legis 51 -17

LR File No. 253 of 1915-16

67

Serial No.	
	Do. no R221 dt 15/12/16 to K.R Srinivasiengar Esq. Rev Comr states that the Palace controller brings to notice that the G.O. of the 5th Feb 1914 regarding the tenure of certain Palace properties is likely to unsettle the existing state of things to the disadvantage of the Palace & proposes an amendment to Sec 238 of the LR Code to remedy the same & calls for the Rev Com's opinion on the amendment proposed.
D 4865	Do. no 433 dt 16/2/17. from the offg Rev Comr. states that he saw the Palace Controller & ascertained definitely what was wanted & that the amendment proposed would do and suggests his own amendment
26	Submd with Collen LR 421-12-16 Dgaln 16 relating to the appeal cases referred to in para 6 of the notes supra and by the 2nd member.
Mag S.	K. Y. K. 16/2/17
	P. J. O

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

W865

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Legs 51-17

LR File No. 253 of 1915-16

Serial No.

29 If as proposed by the Rev: Commr. the Palace Controller or other Officer to be nominated by Govt is to be invested with all the powers of a Dy Commr. under the L.R. Code, to be exercised in respect of lands under the direct management of the Palace, the question arises as to who should be his appellate authority under the Regn.

+ Palace Controller &c —

29 Another point for consideration is whether instead of inserting a new provision as S. 231 A, Sec. 120 may not be suitably amended—

29 The Govt Advocate may if approved, be unofficially consulted on the Rev Commr's proposals.

30 28 Where is the annual letter or 17.2.17 D.O. from the Palace Controller

M.S Ramachandra Rao 17/2

Letter written by manager Rev Com's Office 19/2/17

31 29 Correspondence on the subject between the Palace Controller

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

(9

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Legal 4865 51-1

File No. 253 of 1915-16

Serial No.

The Rev Comr communication obtained from the Rev Com's office, is submitted as directed.

K.V.S. 19/2/17

The Suggestion made by the Rev Comr. appears to be suitable. It is not quite clear whether the Palace Controller has agreed to the Amendment proposed or it is merely the Rev Comr's suggestion made. If approved the Palace Controller may be consulted.

As regards the place where the amendment should come, it is a point for consideration whether the amendment will not come more appropriately partly under Sec 99 of the L. R. Code which deals with the Special powers which may be granted to the Holders of alienated land, and partly under Sec 120 of the L. R. Code which deals with the ~~management~~ of the alienated lands, (temporarily under govt. management),

The additional provision is not appropriate either under sec 99 or sec 120 which relate to different matters. M A.V.R

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

(10)

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Legis 4 865 S 1-17

~~LR~~ File No. 25-3 of 191 5-1C

Serial No.

under Govt management

34) If approved the Govt Advocate or Br III may also be Consulted

Rev Secy)

M S Ramachandra Rao
24/2/17

35) The proposed addition may form sec 23, 4, as suggested by the Rev Comr. The opinion of the Govt Advocate, or the Legislative Section may be taken

B Ramakrishnayya
26.2.17

28/4/27-2-17

~~12th December~~ 17

36) Yes. Govt

AR Bauerji
28/2

Hm
Asst
28/2

37) Forwarded unofficially to the Govt Advocate for favour of opinion

By order
M S Ramachandra Rao
28/2/17 for Rev Secy

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
I
AVENUE.
MAR 1917

G.O. No. 50 of 16-17

35) The action suggested by the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

10 4 (11

G. R. S. III-2]

legis 5 1-17

General and Revenue Secretariat.

LR File No. 253 of 191 5.-16.

Serial No.

Rev: Com^r may be taken, but it appears to me that Sec 120 of the Land Rev: Code is more allied to the proposed addition than any other portion of the Code, but that is a matter which may be considered later on after the policy of the required amendment is determined by Govt. Un-alienated lands in the holding of the Palace exempted from payment of land revenue is for all practical purposes on the same footing as alienated lands owned by them, and if on administrative grounds there is no objection to such lands being removed from the Register of unalienated Govt lands it will perhaps be simpler to legislate because all the lands belonging to the Palace may be treated as alienated lands taken under Govt management and dealt with as such

6-3-17

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Legs S-17 4866 (12

File No. 253 of 1915-16

Serial No.

36) Submitted with the N. O. of the Govt. Advocate

Fr. K.Y.L.

7/3/17 S

7.3.17

Forwarded to the Br. III) M. S. Ramachandra Moor. 9.3.17

Legs 9/3 SECRETARIAT GEN. & REV. III JUDICIAL 9 MAR 1917 J. Mis 1383-16.

37 Subd. with a copy of the Land Rev. Code

S.V.S.

10/3/17

38 There are two classes of cases for which provision in the Land Revenue Code is considered necessary: first, cases of villages which were once inams, but which have subsequently ceased to be so, and are managed by Govt. for and on behalf of the Talukdars; Secondly cases in which the aid of Govt is required to recover land revenue, or lands encroached upon by trespassers &c.

39-42) In the first class of cases, the proposal of the Revenue Commissioner may be adopted. It seems, however, desirable to expressly except from the operation of the Section cases of vested interest already in existence. Though the general rule is that a statute should be so interpreted as in no respect to interfere with or prejudice a clear private right

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

Serial No.

or title unless the private right or title is taken away per directum Still it seems preferable to specially preserve those rights. If this view is approved, then a proviso ~~that~~ to the effect mentioned in the Palace Comptroller's draft may be adopted

43 ~~38 40~~ In regard to the second class cases, ~~in which~~ the special measures provided for in recovery of Govt. land, land revenue or in the Land Revenue Code are what are apparently required. This a point for consideration whether the powers for achieving the object are to be exercised by the Palace Comptroller himself or by the Deputy Commissioner. The draft to be framed will depend upon this ~~question~~ decision on this question. If the regular management of such properties is ~~kept~~ kept with the Palace Comptroller himself, then it will be well if the powers of Civil Courts are confined thereto, as suggested by the ~~Deputy~~ Rev. Court. Then powers of appeal against his orders will have to be provided for, and the agency by which his orders have to be executed. This seems a preferable course to follow, than that of asking the regular officers of the Revenue Establishment to execute take necessary steps

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

~~File No. 253 of 1915-16~~

Serial No.

on the acquisition of the Palace compliction. It may be difficult to state clearly at what stage the Govt. officers have to intervene and what remedies have to be provided in cases of injustice. The Palace compliction will also have all the responsibilities and liabilities of a Revenue officer, if so even. It is not clear in what cases the duty of the Revenue officer is necessary. In ordinary cases of contract, (eg lease of land for a few years at a fixed rent) the provisions of the Code may not be of much use; the relationship of landlord & tenant will be the only relationship then. It may perhaps be better if the specific powers required are clearly ascertained.

3944 If legislation has to be undertaken, then the question whether it is excluded from the consideration of the Legislative Council under clause (a) of the proviso to S 12 of the Legislative Council has also to be decided.

GS Srinivasa Iyer 16/5

Govt 16/5/17

Rev Secy

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT I REVENUE. 16 MAY 1917

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

(15 159

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Legis SI-17 4845

File No. 253 of 1916-17

Serial No.

42 The view taken in para (37) may be approved. Re para 43 38, it seems necessary to ask for further particulars as indicated, from the Palace authorities.

B. Ramaswamiengar
28.5.17

(2nd member)

346
28.5.17

46 I agree with the Re & the amendment as proposed by Hon Chief Justice. I may also add as regards 44 I think we need not be restricted to Imperial appointments only as by Rules made in the usual Course the Rev. Com. to appl. how. is needed

47 45 The Palace Committee should consider whether proposal for feasible representative legislation may be made & if such legislation seems likely to be excluded from the Council

Z. A. R. Banerji
29.5.

46 Draft ordered
47 Issue
48 J. K. Y. K. 49
9/6/17

Hon'ble Mr. S. Ramachandra Rao
11/6/17

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

6803

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

(16 18

F. R. S. III-2]

Legs 51-17

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Dr File No. 253 of 191 5-16

Serial No.	
3	To the Palace comptroller no R 12277 d/ 14/6/17 Calls for his opinion on the amendment proposed by the Rev. Comm
6803 4	from Do. no 102 d/ 11/6/18. States that the text of the amendment seems to meet the present requirements more or less in their entirety and adds that in the said amendment the Comma after the words "the deputy Comm" on their first occurrence may if approved be omitted)
I think the Comma shd remain R/ m/s Issa Japie 8/6	5) After deciding whether the Comma may be omitted the file may be sent to Br III to include the amendment under See 120 L. R. Code.
Par 4 of the notes	5) Whether the amendment is to be excluded from the consideration of the Legislative Council Seems also to be a point for Consideration
Par 3 & 9 do Supra Para 4 & 3 Vide 2nd	Submitted for orders m. S. Nawaclass
mr B.R. 8/6/18 12.6.18	Rev Secy
10/6/18	10/6

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

C. R. S. III-2j

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Regis 5 1 — 1903 / 1748 (17 16

~~L R~~ File No. 253 ~~of 191 .~~ 16

Serial No.

57) For perusal before the file is passed on to Br. III for further necessary action.

B. Ramaswamayya 12/6

~~1st member~~ 12.6.18

4536/14.6 S. Seetharama Rao 14/6

M. Kantaraja Urs

Regis 1748-16

14/6

16/6

P. to 17 of Urgent Reg.

GEN. & REV. SECTT. I. 15 JUN 1918

Br. III

58) Subd. with a draft Bill with St. of O & R

S.V.S. 18/7/18

Please put up typed draft issued

G. Srinivasa Ayyar 8/9/18

Subd. with a typed draft

S.V.S. 10/8

Br. for approval.

G. Srinivasa Ayyar 10/8

~~Chief Secretary~~ ~~Asst. Secretary~~

~~1st~~ member C. J. Baker 23/8

891/23/8

60) 5) Typed 24/8/18

23/8 Seetharama Rao

Council make

Many be disposed of by circulation

C. S. Baker 26/8

Secretary

1141/26.8.18

3 Pul 24/9/18

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

63) 64) circulate 62) 5) 4/9

C. S. Baker 2.9.18

P.T.O.

1918

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Legs File No. 51 of 1917

Serial No. ~~62~~ 64

As Rev Comms. I has to deal with this matter & in the course of it discussed it with the Palace Controller also. He I think is appreciable to the amendments proposed which in his opinion, is calculated to meet the requirements of the Palace.

The text is desirably revised as regards punctuation before publication.

It seems to me that this bill need not go into the Legis. Council.

P. Rajamundra Rao
Pleader
11.9.18

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20-3-18—S.

(For Disposal by Circulation)

No. C. 210

7. 9. 1918

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

COUNCIL MATTER.

SUBJECT.

(Clause ii of the Schedule)

Draft Bill to amend the Mysore Land Revenue Code, 1888.

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

C. Balasundaram
Chief Secretary to Government.

6th Septr., 1918.

Extraordinary Member of Council.

First Member of Council. 63. Approved.

64.

Second Member of Council. Need not be raised in my memo — 29.5.19 — nothing should yet

Extra Member of Council.

WD 2670—GRB—1,000—10-5-17

(with 62)

11.9.18

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Legis 1748

20 29

Legis File No. 51 of 1917

Serial No.

68 Subd. with D.O. from A.S.

S.V.S.

21/9/18

67 Submitted for favour of approval & signature. In regard to the doubt referred to in the note in paragraph 4 (in the manuscript) of the Legislative Report it is submitted that under the proviso to Section 12 of the Legislative Council it shall not be lawful for the Council to consider any measure affecting the Ruling Family of Mysore. The language seems to be wide and comprehensive enough to include measures affecting the property of the Royal Family. This view is further supported by precedents. Thus it was framed to exempt the property of His Highness the Yuvaraja from municipal taxation, but it was not placed before the Legislative Council.

Legis 25-15 G

25/9

233. 25.9.18

Second Member 68. 27.9

29. Dsn submitted.

Dewan

1919. 28-9-18

28/9/19

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20-8-19

Final Note by the Second Member of Council.

Subject:— Amendment of section 120 of the Mysore Land Revenue Code, 1888.

In December 1916, His Highness ordered that the question of making suitable provision in the Mysore Land Revenue Code, 1888, so as to remove the existing disabilities experienced in the management of certain properties belonging to the Palace be considered in all its aspects in consultation with the Revenue Commissioner and definite proposals formulated for obviating all practicable difficulties.

The Revenue Commissioner as well as the Government Advocate were consulted in the matter and it is considered necessary to empower the Deputy Commissioner in respect of Palace lands under Government management and the Palace Controller in respect of lands under the direct management of the Palace, to exercise all the powers conferred on a Deputy Commissioner by the Revenue Code in respect of unalienated lands.

A bill to amend section 120 of the Mysore Land Revenue Code 1888, has accordingly been preapred and this has been approved by all the Members of Council.

As the matter is one falling under the proviso to section 12 of the Mysore Legislative Council Regulation, 1907, this is excepted from the purview of the Legislative Council.

Sanction of His Highness the Maharaja is required to apply to the Residency for the sanction of the Government of India for passing the bill into law.

MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 27.9.18

Submitted to His Highness.

A 72 / 17 / 75. *I submit herewith a Final Note by the...Second. Member of Council on the subject of...amendment..of. section 120 of the Mysore Land Revenue Code, 1888.*

for His Highness' gracious approval.

M. Visvesvaraya

Dewan.

28th Sep. 1918.

C-210 2827

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject.

Bill to amend Section 120 of the Mysore Land Revenue Code, 1888.

73) 18

Order.

no. 352

(76) ~~KRM~~

2/10/18

M. Chief Secretary
3.10.18

74) 28. Draft & Rep
8/10
S. Srinivasa Iyer

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Legis File No. 57 of 191

Serial No.	
78)	Subd. with a draft to the Resdency S.V.S. 8/10/18 78 ~~80~~) Issuing 1.11.80
2	To, The F. A. Resident Pol 392 Dt 24-10-18 forwards 2 copies of a Bill further to amend the Mysore Land Revenue Code and requests that the Bill may be placed before the Govt of India for Sanction

P.T.O.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—2(

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Legis File No. 5/ of 1917.

Serial No.

4 From The J.A. Resident

no. 7886 dt 18-11-18

States that the Governor General in Council has been pleased to accede his consent to the Bill.

7/81 Submitted with a DFN & a DSN for obtaining the gracious sanction of HH under Section 14 of the Legislative Council Regulation.

ASR 29/11

Dumbadeniya 30/11/19

(Chief Secy) 1st member

Wijesundara Engr 3/12/19

233 1.2.19

78 Revised & passed - Ag K.S. Bharati 3/12/19 & Sabaratnam Legis

DSN submitted.

4326 4.2.19.

Dewan 3/12/19

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20-3-18—S.

25

FINAL NOTE BY THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

SUBJECT: Promulgation of the Bill further to amend the Mysore Land Revenue Code, 1888.

79 The Government of India have given their consent to the above Bill being passed into Law.

80 His Highness the Maharaja's assent may now, under Section 14 of the Legislative Council Regulation, be graciously accorded in view to its promulgation as a Regulation.

M Chandrasekhara

Offg. First Member of Council.

3rd February 1919.

Submitted to His Highness.

---

*I submit herewith a Final Note by the...*Finat.*Member of Council on the subject of...*promulgation of tne Bill further to amend the Mysore Land Revenue Code 1888, into Law

for His Highness' gracious approval.

Dewan.
6.2.19

STATEMENT OF CASES SUBMITTED FOR THE ORDERS OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA DURING THE TOUR OUTSIDE THE STATE IN February 1919.

Serial No.	Subject	Recommendation of Council, or Member of Government in charge, as the case may be	Dewan's recommendation	Orders of His Highness	Orders regarding submission of files (*vide* foot-note)
8855 6-2-19.	Promulgation of the Bill further to Amend the Mysore Land Revenue Code, 1888, into Law.	Final Note by the First Member recommending its promulgation as a Regulation.	Final Note may be approved. 8237 951 6-2-19	approved by his highness Received C Private Secretary Cooch Behar 15-2-19.	

NOTE.—The letter a, b or c may kindly be inserted by the Private Secretary to His Highness in the last column to indicate whether—

File may be submitted at once ... ... a
File may be submitted after His Highness' return ... ... b
File may not be submitted at all c

WD 2222—GPB—500 -9-5-18

6609 28

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Legis. File No. 57 of 1919.

Serial No.

63 ~~68~~) Submitted with a draft GO Pencil marginal notes are added in the Bill for approval.

492/21.2.19

AMR 21/2

64 ~~29~~) No marginal notes are necessary & may be kindly app

DM 21/2

I (Member) DM

~~85~~ 30) AMD as ~~slightly~~ altered in K.S. Chandra 27-2-19

~~86~~ 31) may dam DM 22/2

5 To

The Compiler Mr. P Mahadevayya

G/18329 01/12-2-19

Orders the publication of a Regn to amend the the Mysore Laws Code 1888 in Mysore Gazette as I of 1919

Submitted with 2 drafts - one to the Residency and the other to the Nizam of Hyderabad forwarding copies of the Regulation as usual

SR 26.3.19 AMR 26/3

DM 27/3

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20-3-18—S.

D.C.9

16077

39

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Legis File No. 57 of 1917

	Serial No.	
	6.	To the F. A. Resident No political 1016 dated 10.4.19. Forwards 9 copies of the Regulation to amend the Mysore Land Revenue code 1888 (Regulation I of 1919)
	7.	To. the Secretary to the Govt H.E.H. the Niram of Hyderabad (Deccan) judicial department. Letter No 9.23155 dated 11.4.19 Forwards 2 copies of the Regulation to amend the Mysore Land Revenue code 1888 (Reg^n I of 1919)
D. No. 16077	8	From the Secretary to the Govt of H.E.H. the Niram of Hyderabad, judicial Dep^t. Letter No 1956 dated 27th April 1919. Acknowledges the receipt of two copies of the Reg^n I of 1919. Submitted for kind perusal. D.M. 8/6

98
15.5.19
AM 17/5

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

No. 52
Enc. Nil

Office of the Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Dated Bangalore, 5 October 1915.

DIARY No. 2121

From ~~D. K. Daraskat Esq. B.A.~~ D
~~D. Shama Rao Esq.~~
Superintendent of Inam Settlements
in Mysore, Bangalore.

1915-16
LR
2453-15

To

V. R. Thyagaraja Iyer Esq. M.A.
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
~~Govt. and~~ Rev. Depts.

Sir,

With reference to Government Pro. No 5992-7 / L.R 414-13-1 dated 5th February 1914, Copy of which was received with your letter R 3646 / L.R dated 27th September 1915 in re the Cancellation of the Inam tenure of lands belonging to the late Chamammanne Avaru, I have the honor to state as follows:—

2. In the preamble of the Govt order above referred to, it is stated that the Inam tenure of Inams belonging to the late Chamammanne in the village of Satenogenahalli be Cancelled. There is no Inam belonging to the late Chamammanni in Sahte —

GPB—300—23-9-10

3 F

mogenahalli ; there, however, are Inams belong-
ing to Venkatalakshmaimmanne in Santemogena
- halle and Malur villages in Chennapatna Taluk.

3. I, therefore, request to be informed if the Inams
belonging to Venkatalakshmammanne in Sante-
mogenahalli and Malur villages may be can
- celled -

4. I have further to bring to your notice that
there are no Inams of the description herein
below mentioned, and dealt with in the Govt.
Pro. in question, of which any record is made
by the Inam Department -

1. Mangalavarpet Garden
2. A house in Chennapatna
3. Bangle Hola.
4. Manegere Hola -

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
B Ramachar
Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore

1h 30/11 ab 38

G.R.S. 26]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 2121

Section LR
File No. 263-15
Serial No. 2

General and Revenue Secretariat
(LR)
, *the* *191* .

No. R6014 20-12-15
Ext.

Date of issue 20-12-1915

To the Palace Controller
Mysore

Signed by M. Rangacharlu Esqr.,-

I am directed to forward herewith for favour of your remarks a Copy of letter no 52 dt 5.10.15 from the Supdt of Dasara Sewers in Mysore asking for information on certain points in regard to a few of the properties ordered in G.O. and R 5992-7 LR

over.

x belonging to the late Bhamanna Avaru &

3.3

414-13-1 & 5/2/14 to be
treated as Palace properties,
the Imam's Service being cancelled
hereafter

MT 29/11/15

Rev Seylo Govt.

In 15-11-15

38

12/11/15

8

25/11/15

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—14-2-14

D.O. No. 578

Palace Controller's Office,
Jaganmohan Palace, Mysore,
11th November 1916.

My dear Mr. Krishna Rao,

With reference to your D.O. No.R 38-41 dated 21st July 1916 and subsequent reminders thereto, I write to say that action on Govt. Proceedings No. 5992-7/R.414-13-1 dated 5th February 1914 may kindly be deferred till you hear from me again as it is under comtemplation to have the said Proceedings modified.

Yours sincerely,

G. O. No. 36089

D.O. No. 149.

Encl: Copies of certain correspondence.

HUZUR SECRETARY MYSORE

The Palace,
Mysore.

3rd December 1916.

My dear Sir,

In forwarding herewith copy of a communication received from the Palace Controller (with its accompaniments) bringing to notice certain practical difficulties in giving effect to Government Order No.R5992-7/L.R.414-13-1 dated 5th February 1914 directing that the Inam tenure of certain Sarvamanya villages and other Inam lands in the enjoyment of the Palace be extinguished and all such estates regarded as Palace properties, I am directed to suggest that, if you see no objection, it would perhaps be advisable to keep the Inam tenure of the said villages and lands intact and introduce a suitable provision into the Mysore Land Revenue Code to enable Deputy Commissioners to exercise in the case of Palace Inam villages and lands all the powers that they exercise in the case of lands belonging to Government. His Highness will be obliged if you will kindly have the matter considered in all its aspects in consultation with the Revenue Commissioner and favour him with your definite

37

proposals for obviating all practical difficulties.

Yours Sincerely
Mirza M. Ismail

Sir M. Visvesvaraya, K.C.I.E.,
Dewan of Mysore,
Mysore.

338

D.O.No.216/1file.

Palace Controller's Office,
Jaganmohan Palace, Mysore,
19th August 1916.

My dear Mr. Thumboo Chetty,

The Palace Villages of Sogala and Garikehally in the Channapatna Taluk are being looked after by the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore on behalf of the Palace. Some time ago, in dealing with some of the Revenue cases in these villages, the Deputy Commissioner ordered the resumption of Survey No.141 under the big Tank in Sogala from one Puttaian who held it without any right or title thereto and ~~find~~ fined him for encroachment on Survey No.148 in that same village and on No.437 in Garikahalli where he was also found guilty of having destroyed some date trees on Palace lands. These cases went in appeal to the Revenue Commissioner who, I understand, has submitted them to Government on a point of Law. His contention is that the Provisions of the Land Revenue Code in respect of encroachment and unauthorized occupation (Sections 37 & 59) and destruction of Trees (Section 44) are applicable to unalienated Lands and in regard to such concerns in Inam villages, the Government are only in the position of the Inamdars and could not resort to such remedies; and he is not sure if the Deputy Commissioner's Power over these villages could be the same as in the case of villages brought under Government management under Sections 150 and 165 of the Land Revenue Code even. The cases are, I believe, pending the orders of the Government.

Vide my D.O.269 d/ 16-8-13 to Mr.K.Doraswami Iyer, Rev. Commr.

Mr. K.Doraswami Iyer's D.O. d/ 20-8-13.

2. It has since been ordered by the Government that the Inam Tenure of these villages and of similar properties owned by the Palace be extinguished: on my pointing this out to the Revenue Commissioner, he says that this order does not seem to apply to the appeals.

R.C.'s D.O. d/ 16-8-15.

38 (a) 39

in question: He seems to think that while this is likely to unsettle or interfere with the vested rights of Tenancy in the said villages, it goes but little way to consolidate or serve the Palace Interests.

3. I have since met and discussed the situation with Mr. K. Dorasami Iyer, the Revenue Commissioner. The matter involves a question of Law; and so far as the Palace is concerned it is one of vital importance. We so frequently stand in need of help from the Government as regards the Revenue concerns of the Palace Villages under Government management as well as our Kavals etc. In Nanjangud, the Leases of the Dewan's Garden and our Kupravalli wet lands have been arranged for by the Revenue authorities who are also helping us in the case of our Kanikere and Kardihalla Estates in the Chamarajanagar Taluk. Again, since our Kavals etc., are being got surveyed by the Measurer whose services are being lent by the Survey Department during the Field-Season for some time past, a number of cases of encroachments on our limits by the holders of the neighbouring lands have come to light, and I have written to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, where these have occurred. His hands require to be strengthened to enable him to take suitable official notice of them and set matters right.

4. In view of the long - recognised and Time honoured Privileges of the Ruling Sovereign in the Dominions under his sway, such as Exemption from Taxation &c., the Revenue Law has to be made applicable to Palace Properties in the same manner as it refers to Government Properties, so long as the Government manage the former, or when the aid of the Government is sought for in regard to their affairs. This would avoid complications and facilitate the disposal of Palace Leases, and cultivation of Palace Lands and obviate the necessity for the Palace having to resort

Palace Controller's Office.

D.O. No. 269. Mysore, 16th August 1913.

My dear Mr. Doraswami Iyer,

As you are, I trust, aware, the Villages of Sogala and Girkehalli in the Chennapatna Taluk, Bangalore District, are now Palace properties: They reverted to the Palace on the death of the old Inamdar Chamammanni over twenty years ago. They are managed for the Palace by the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District. In the course of my visits to these Villages within the past six years, I have come across a number of cases calling for attention. The Deputy Commissioner to whom they have been intimated, has been dealing with them.

In three of these cases, the Deputy Commissioner tells me that his decisions were appealed against and the appeals are pending with the Revenue Commissioner: I hear this has been so for about three years.

The first of these cases relates to Survey No. 141 under the Sogala Big Tank. It is a valuable piece of land. It was given out by the late Inamdar Chamammanni, temporarily, to one of her dependents by name Keregod Lingiah in 1888-89 for assessment on the distinct understanding that he should relinquish it whenever called upon to do so. One Puttiah has been in unauthorised enjoyment of this land. With my D.O. letter No. 894 of 25th June 1909 I sent to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore, the original papers I traced up to make the situation clear. The Deputy Commissioner ordered the land to be resumed. Puttiah has appealed against this order, refusing to give up the land. This appeal remains with you for disposal.

Again this Puttiah was found to have encroached upon part of Batayi Survey No. 148 in Sogala and on Survey No. 437 in

Girkehalli, destroying some date trees and seedlings in the latter. The Deputy Commisssioner fined him Rs. 10/- for the former and Rs.25/- for the latter, directing also the levy of a penalty of Rs.50/- from him for destruction of Date trees and seedlings. He was also ordered to be evicted from these encroached lands. Puttia has appealed in these cases also. The appeals are pending in your Office.

May I request you to verv kindly have these cases disposed of at your earliest convenience and oblige.

Yours sincerely,

Sd/ B. Ramakrishna Rao.

Office of the Revenue Commis
sioner in Mysore,
Bangalore, 20th August 1913.

Dear Mr. Ramakrishna Rao,

In reply to your Demi Official letter No.269 dated the 16th.

The 3 appeal cases relating to the villages of Sogala and Garkehalli have been submitted to Government on a point of law. The provisions of the Land Revenue Code in respect of encroachment and unauthorised occupatio (Section 37 and 59) and destruction of trees (Section 44) are in their terms applicable to unalienated lands. In regard to the encroachment, unauthorised occupation &c in the Inam villages, Government are only in the position of an Inamdar and could only resort to such remedies as he could. I am not sure if our powers over these villages could be the same as in the case of villages taken under our management under Sections 150 and 165 Land Revenue Code.

The office note enclosed will show where the matter stands. Please return it as it has to be restored to the file.

Yours sincerely,
Sd/ K.S. Dorasamy Iyer,

B. Ramakrishna Rao Esq.,
Palace Controller,
Mysore.

Office Note.

Subject:-

The Palace Controller's D.O.No.269 dated 16th August 1913 requesting that the three appeals filed by Puttayya of Sogala, Chennapatna Taluk, may be disposed of at the Revenue Commissioner's earliest convenience and the Revenue Commissioner's order there on.

------:::------

Submitted.

The connected references are put up.

The Deputy Commissioner's reports in the cases were received about August 1910 and Government were addressed on the subject of jurisdiction on 31st August 1910.

An inconclusive reply was received from them, after a year, on 22nd August 1911. As the Government had referred to a Government Order of 1895, the Deputy Commissioner was requested on 29th August 1911 to send a copy of the same and this was received in January 1912. Notes were put up on all the three cases in November 1912. The cases have been sent up for the orders of Government with the Revenue Commissioner's letter No.7115 dated 20th February 1913.

Id/ M.D.S.

18-8-13.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

45

Read again:-

G.O. No.1643-7 - R.601 dated the 7th August 1895 instructing the Deputy Commissioners of Bangalore, Tumkur and Mysore to take under the management of Government on behalf of the Palace the undermentioned estates which belonged to the late Chamammanni and which had come into the possession of the Palace and remit the net annual realizations to the Palace.

Channapatna Taluk.

1. Sogala Sarvamanya village.
2. Santemogenahalli garden.
3. Mangalvarpet Garden.
4. A house at Channapatna.
5. Bangli hola.

Closepet Sub-Taluk.

1. Garakahalli Sarvamanya Village.

Kankanhalli Taluk.

1. Chikkoppada Kaval.
2. Hosadurgada Kaval.

Kunigal Taluk.

1. Honnamachinahalli Sarvamanya Village.

Malavalli Taluk.

1. Manigere Hola.

2. G.O. No.R.6478-9-L.R.202-10-4 dated the 8th May 1912 affixing the above order and requesting the Revenue Commissioner to issue instructions to the Deputy Commissioners to maintain a register of Inam Villages taken under the management of Government, showing the authority therefor and such other particulars as will indicate the exact position of each village in regard to Survey Settlement, outstanding arrears, debts due to Government if any, &c.

Order thereon. No.R.5992-7/L.R.414-13-1

Dated Bangalore, 5th February 1914.

Government consider that the Sarvamanya villages of Sogala, Garakahalli and Honnamachinahalli referred to above having reverted to the Palace after the death of Chamammanni it is no longer necessary to regard them as Inam. They therefore direct that the Inam-terms of the said villages and other Inam lands in the enjoyment of the Palace, be extinguished and all such estates regarded as Palace properties, the net income therefrom being credited to the Palace as hitherto.

Sd/ S.P.Rajagoplachar.

For Secretary to Government.

To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

The Superintendent of Inam Settlement in Mysore.

The Deputy Commissioners of Bangalore, Tumkur and Mysore Districts.

The Palace Controller, Mysore.

D.O.No.247

Palace Controller's Office,
Mysore, 13th August 1915.

My dear Mr. Dorasamy Iyer,

In the matter of the 3 appeal cases relating to the Villages of Sogala and Garikehalli, Chennapatna Taluk referred to in your kind D.O. to me of the 20th August 1913, may I request your kind reference to Government Proceedings No.5992-7/L.R.414-13-1 of the 5th February 1914, where it is directed that the Inam tenure of these villages and other lands in the enjoyment of the Palace be extinguished. In the light of this order would you be pleased to let me know how the cases above mentioned have been disposed of and oblige?

Yours sincerely,
Sd/ B. Ramakrishna Rao,

48

Office of the Revenue Commissioner,

in Mysore,

Bangalore, 16th August 1915.

Dear Mr. Ramakrishna Rao,

The appeals that you refer to in your D.O.letter 247 of the 13th Instant are still pending before the Government. The Government order that you refer to would not seem to apply to the appeals in question.

Yours sincerely,

Sd/ K.S. Dorasamy Iyer,

G.R.S. 26]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191

Date of issue 15-12-1916.

Signed by Asstt. Secy.

Confl.

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Written Comp.

Do. No. R 221/8 15-12-16

Enclo

To

Dear Sir,

Adverting to go no. R 5992-7-LR 414-13-18 the 5th Feb: 14. Directing that certain Inam villages and lands in the enjoyment of the Palace and placed under Govt management, be treated as Palace properties. Those Inam tenures being extinguished, I am direct

over.

to state that the Palace
Confederacy beings to notice
in the opinion of
that Mr. Doraswami Iyer
~~was of the opinion that~~
the order does not go to
serve the interests of the
Palace but is likely to cause
mischief or interfere
with the vested rights
of tenancy in the villages
that as the proper
has arisen to be managed
by the Rev Officers of
Govt on behalf of the
Palace, some provision
is necessary in the
L.R. Code to enable them
to deal with the pro-
perties in the same manner
as Govt villages. He
accordingly suggests
the addition of the
following clause

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—14-2-14

G.R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

to Section 238 of the L.R. Code

" In the case of the Palace properties under direct management of the Govt the provisions of the Land Revenue Code as applicable to unalienated lands shall apply fully, so long as, or during the time they continue under Govt management, subject to the maintenance of the existing individual tenancy rights; as also where the help of Govt is sought for in the Courts of Palace lands as if they were Govt properties for the time being".

"I am to request the favour of your opinion on the Suggestion of

53

the Palace Controller, at
a very early date. I enclose for your information
a Memorandum of Mr. Nelson's Home Secretary's D.O. letter
No 149 of 3rd Dec 16.

Thanking you
Yours Sincerely
Sd
11.12.16 12/12
Dear Sir

T. R. Srinivasiengar Esqr M.A.,
Offg Dy Commr.

11/12/16

GPB—20,000—16-2-14

D.O. 433 Office of the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
Dated Bangalore, 10th February 1917

Dear Sir,

With reference to Mr. L. Krishna Rao's D.O. letter No R.221-L.R.253-15 dated 15th December 1916 requesting my opinion on the suggestion of the Palace Controller, to amend the Land Revenue code so as to apply to Palace properties, the provisions of the Land Revenue code as applicable to unalienated lands, I beg to state as follows:--

2 As the exact requirements of the Palace could not be clearly made out from the enclosures to the D.O.,,I considered it desirable to have a personal discussion in the matter with the Palace Controller and accordingly met Rajakaryaprasakta Mr. Ramakrishna Rau by appointment on the 20th January 1917.and discussed the question with him. I was given to understand by him that what was wanted by the Palace was that all the powers conferred by the Land Revenue code on Government officers in respect of unalienated land should be exercisable in respect of Palace Inam lands under Government management, but without conferring any new occupancy rights on the ryots of such villages or interfering with the claims now exercised over them by the Inamdars. It was also explained by him that the said powers were required not only in respect of Inam villages under Government management but also with regard to all lands, whether alienated or unalienated belonging to the Palace, and whether such lands remained in the management of the Palace Controller himself or of the Government.

3 The amendment proposed in the D.O. under reply by making all the provisions of the Land Revenue Code applicable to alienated villages belonging to the Palace will not only give power to Government officers to deal with such lands as if they were Government lands but also have the effect of conferring occupancy and other rights on the ryots in such villages --

~~36 5.4~~

villages -- which is neither contemplated nor desired by the Palace Controller. If the requirements of the Palace are to be met, I would suggest that the amendment proposed in the D.O. may be modified as follows, the same being inserted as Section 231 A in the Land Revenue code:--

"In respect of lands the property of the Palace, the Deputy Commissioner, in the case of lands under the management of Government, and the Palace Controller or other officer nominated by Government in this behalf, in the case of lands under the direct management of the Palace, may exercise all the powers conferred on a Deputy Commissioner by this Regulation in respect of unalienated land."

Yours sincerely,

B Ramaswamayya

Offg: Revenue Commissioner.

B. Ramaswamayya Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Offg: Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
B a n g a l o r e.

57

G. R. S. VI—G/52]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to... 4865

Section LR

File No. 25-3-15

Serial No. 3

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(LR)

, the 191

No. R 12277/8/14-6-17

Date of issue..... 14-6-1717

Signed by... Sub. Rameshwara Rao

To the Palace Controller

Sir,-

Adverting to your demi official letter dt. 11/11/16 I am directed ~~to state that the Huzur Sarp has in his demi official letter No 1497 dt 3/12/16 desired at H H's Command that~~ a suitable provision being made in the Mysoreland

over.

re the matter of

to Mr. K. Krishna Rao and Do/no 216 dt 11th Aug: 16 to Mr Thumboochetty

W. 5F

Code to enable Deputy Commr in the case of Palace Inam Villages and other lands under the management of Govt, to exercise all the powers they may exercise in case of Govt lands, that I am directed by State Govt that it is proposed accordingly to amend Sec 120 of the L R code by the addition of the under-mentioned clause, proposed by Mr. K. R. Srinivasiengar in his capacity as Rev Comr, and concurred in by the Govt Advocate General to request you to be so good as to state after ascertainment if it is the pleasure of the proposed amendment is suitable or necessary, what other suggestions you have for any amendment should be undertaken as suggested in the matter

there or

Sir

11/6/17

for Offg Secy to Govt

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

Herewith the amendment (Enclosure page)

WD86—GPB—60,000—15-11-16

Kyn

9/6/17

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

5.6

"In respect of lands the property of the Palace, the Deputy Commr, in the case of lands under the management of Govt and the Palace Controller or other officer nominated by Govt in his behalf, in the case of lands under the direct management of the Palace, may exercise all the powers conferred on a Deputy Commr by this Regulation in respect of malikana land"

Kyn

11/6/17

GEN. REVENUE SECT. 5 JUN 1918
WP A015—GPB—1,000—10-7-17

Dno 6803

No. 102 of 1917-18. ~~OFFICE OF THE PALACE CONTROLLER~~

MYSORE 1st June 1918.

04597

255-18

GEN. REV. BR. 4 JUN 1918

From

~~RAJAKARYAPRASAKTA,~~

B. RAMAKRISHNA ROW, Esq.,

PALACE CONTROLLER,

MYSORE.

L.R. 253-15-4

To,

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Revenue Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

In the matter of a suitable provision being made in the Land Revenue Code, to enable the Deputy Commissioners to exercise, in the case of Palace Inam Villages and other lands under the management of Government, all the powers they exercise in respect of Government lands, I have the honor to state, in reply to your letter No.R12277/ L.R.253-15-3, dated the 14th June 1917, that I have gone carefully through the proposed draft kindly forwarded therewith, of amendment to Section 120 of the Land Revenue Code. I am of opinion that the text as therein mentioned seems to meet the present requirements more or less in their entirety. I beg leave to add that in the said draft which is herewith returned, with thanks, in original, the comma after the words "the Deputy Commissioner" on their first occurrence may kindly be omitted, if approved.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

B Ramakrishna Row

Palace Controller. 2/6/18

VGB.

Regulation I of 1919

A Regulation to amend the Mysore Land Revenue Code, 1888.

****

(Received the assent of His Highness the Maharaja on the 15th day of Feb. 1919)

Preamble

Whereas it is expedient further to amend the Mysore Land Revenue Code, 1888; His Highness the Maharaja is pleased to enact as follows:-

Addition of a new clause to section 120

To section 120 the following new clause shall be added as clause (2) the present clause being numbered as clause (1).

"(2) In respect of lands the property of the Palace, the Deputy Commissioner, in the case of lands under the management of Government, and the Palace Controller or other officer nominated by Government in this behalf, in the case of lands under the direct management of the Palace, may exercise all the powers conferred on a Deputy Commissioner by this Regulation in respect of unalienated land".

Management of lands the property of the Palace

23/8/19

CP.

Statement of Objects and Reasons.

At present the Palace officers managing the lands, which are the property of the Palace, experience certain difficulties in their management and a number of cases of encroachment etc., have come to light and as the existing powers are held insufficient to remedy the evils, it is deemed necessary to authorise the Deputy Commissioner, in respect of Palace lands under Government management, and the Palace Controller or any other officer nominated in this behalf, in respect of lands under the direct management of the Palace, to exercise all the powers conferred on a Deputy Commissioner by the Revenue Code in respect of unalienated lands.

23/8/18

63

R. O. 2.—R. P. B.—11-1918.—1,000.

No. 7886

DIARY No. R.

18 NOV 1918

DESPATCH Legis

SERIAL No. 4

From

Major E. H. S. JAMES,

FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT.
III
PROTECTION BRANCH.
20 NOV 1918

Dated Bangalore, 18 November 1918.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. Pol 393, dated the 24th October 1918, forwarding for the orders of the Government of India a Bill further to amend the Mysore Land Revenue Code, 1888.

2. In reply, I am to say that the Governor General in Council has been pleased to accord his consent to the Bill, on the understanding that he retains over it the power and authority which vest in him by virtue of Article 13 of the Treaty dated the 26th November 1913.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

EHS James

First Assistant Resident.

MA

2 copies with the enclosure

F. R. S. VI.—S-III/14]

6 & 4

DRAFT.

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section. Legis
File No. 57-17
Serial No. 5

Proceedings of the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Order No. G 18324

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,

( )

, the.....*191*

Ordered that the accompanying Regulation (A Regulation further to amend the Mysore Land Revenue Code, 1888) which received the assent of His Highness the Maharaja, on the 15th day of February 1919 be published as Regulation No. I of 1919, in the *Mysore Gazette* for general information.

Date of issue 22/2/19

Signed by.....

Chief Secretary to Government.

May this be—

(i) Printed?

(ii) Treated as a standing order?

(iii) Published in the "Gazette" English part?

(iv) Translated?

(v) Placed at the disposal of the Press?

(vi) Published in the "Gazette" Kannada part?

To

The Compiler, *Mysore Gazette*, for publication in Part III of the Gazette, in English and Kannada and for supply of 100 spare copies in English, and 25 spare copies in Kannada to this office, and 200 spare copies in English and 50 spare copies in Kannada to the Curator, Government Central Book Depot, Bangalore. Proofs should be sent to this office for approval before publication.

Copy to Translation Section

Ans 21/2

65

REGULATION I OF 1919.

(Received the assent of His Highness the Maharaja on the 15th day of February 1919.)

A Regulation further to amend the Mysore Land Revenue Code, 1888.

Preamble.

Whereas it is expedient further to amend the Mysore Land Revenue Code, 1888; His Highness the Maharaja is pleased to enact as follows:—

Addition of a new clause to Section 120.

To Section 120, the following new clause shall be added as clause (2) the present clause being numbered as clause (1).

Management of lands, the property of the Palace.

"(2) In respect of lands, the property of the Palace, the Deputy Commissioner, in the case of lands under the management of Government, and the Palace Controller or other officer nominated by Government in this behalf, in the case of lands under the direct management of the Palace, may exercise all the powers conferred on a Deputy Commissioner by this Regulation in respect of unalienated land."

Price Four Pies.

G. R. S. 27/3 VI—s-III/16]

2085

DRAFT.

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section. Legis.
File No. 57 – 17
Serial No. 6

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. Pol. 1016

To Nine copies

The First Assistant to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
( )
, *the*.....*191* .

Date of issue.....10-4-19

Signed by B. Venkatarama Iyengar

SIR,

I am directed to forward herewith 9 copies of the Regulation further to amend the Mysore Land Revenue code, 1888. (Regulation I of 1919.)

.....

.....

.....

I have etc.,

27/3

Chief Secretary to Government.

SB
26.3.19

26/3/19

F. R. S. VI.—S-III/16]

DRAFT.

285

66

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section. Legis.
File No. 51-17
Serial No. 7

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. 723155/21

To

The Secretary to the Govt of H.E.H. the Nizam of Hyderabad judicial department

~~The First Assistant to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore.~~

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,

( )

, the.....*191* .

Date of issue..... 11.4.19

Signed by.....

SIR,

I am directed to forward herewith 2 copies of the Regulation further to amend the Mysore Land Revenue Code 1888 (Regulation I of 1919).

.....

.....

.....

I have etc.,

27/6

for Chief Secretary to Government

880
26.3.19.
Ans 16/4/19

GCP—1881—26-8-18—2,000.

HIS EXALTED HIGHNESS THE NIZAM'S GOVERNMENT,

67

5 MAY 19

No. 1956 *Hyderabad-Deccan, Dated* 29th April 1919

FROM

DEPARTMENT.

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

JUDICIAL, POLICE AND GENERAL DEPARTMENTS.

38750

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government

of His Highness the Maharaja of

MYSORE.

ENCLOSURES.

SIR,

I have the honour to acknowledge with thanks the receipt of two copies of the Regulation to amend the Mysore Land Revenue Code 1888 (Regulation I of 1919) forwarded under cover of your office No.G23155(2) Legis 51175 dated the 11th April 1919.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

H. A. Ansari

Assistant Secretary,

27/4